arXiv:hep-th/0007065v3 2 Apr 2003

CALT-68-2286
hep-th/0007065

Quantum Stabilization of Compactified AdS$_5$

Walter D. Goldberger[a]* and Ira Z. Rothstein[a,b]†

[a] *California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125*

[b] *Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213*

Abstract

We investigate the role of quantum fluctuations in the system composed of two branes bounding a region of AdS. It is shown that the modulus effective potential generated by quantum fluctuations of both brane and bulk fields is incapable of stabilizing the space naturally at the separation needed to generate the hierarchy. Consequently, a classical stabilization mechanism is required. We describe the proper method of regulating the loop integrals and show that, for large brane separation, the quantum effects are power suppressed and therefore have negligible affects on the bulk dynamics once a classical stabilization mechanism is in place.

---

*walter@theory.caltech.edu

†ira@cmuhep2.phys.cmu.edu

Recently, Randall and Sundrum [1] proposed a novel mechanism for addressing the hierarchy problem. In their model, the Standard Model fields are confined to one of two 3-branes which are endpoints of an S^1/Z_2 orbifold spatial dimension. A negative bulk cosmological constant generates an AdS metric in the five-dimensional spacetime

$$ds^2 = e^{-2kr_c|\phi|}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu - r_c^2 d\phi^2, \tag{1}$$

where k is a parameter of order the Planck scale which is related to the AdS radius of curvature and r_c determines the length of the orbifold. The coordinate $\phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$ parameterizes the fifth dimension, with the point (x, ϕ) and $(x, -\phi)$ identified, and the two 3-branes reside at the orbifold fixed points $\phi = 0, \pi$. Because of the exponential factor in Eq. (1), a field with Lagrangian mass parameter m_0 that is confined to the brane at $\phi = \pi$ (the "TeV brane") will have a physical mass $m = m_0 e^{-kr_c\pi}$. If all mass scales in the theory are of order the Planck scale and $kr_c \sim 12$, then the observed mass m is in the TeV range. In this way, the hierarchy between the TeV and the Planck scale is generated purely through gravitational effects.

The Randall-Sundrum (RS) scenario contains a modulus field that determines the size of the S^1/Z_2 orbifold extra dimension. This scalar arises as one of the massless fluctuations about the background AdS geometry, and it is encoded in the five-dimensional metric as

$$ds^2 = e^{-2k|\phi|T(x)}g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu - T^2(x)d\phi^2, \tag{2}$$

where the field $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the four-dimensional graviton and $T(x)$ is the modulus, or "radion" field whose VEV $r_c = \langle T \rangle$ determines the length of the orbifold according to Eq. (1). Dimensional reduction of the five-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action for Eq. (2) leads to an effective action for the massless fields [2,3]

$$S = \frac{2M^3}{k}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(1 - (\varphi/f)^2\right)R + \frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi, \tag{3}$$

where R is the Ricci scalar constructed from $g_{\mu\nu}$ and we have defined $\varphi = f\exp(-k\pi T)$ with $f = \sqrt{24M^3/k}$.

To account for the observed discrepancy between the gravitational and electroweak scales, we need $kr_c \sim 12$. However, there is nothing in Eq. (3) that stabilizes the VEV of the radion T[1]. Some additional dynamics must be introduced to make $kr_c \sim 12$ without fine tuning parameters. As suggested in [4], introducing a bulk scalar with appropriate interaction terms on the branes can induce a radion potential that has an acceptable minimum without severe tuning of the model parameters. Although in [4] the scalar profile was treated as a perturbation on the background metric, its back reaction on the spacetime geometry can be included [5] without changing the qualitative features of the stabilization mechanism.

---

[1] In fact, the original RS solution necessitates one fine tuning of parameters to keep the radion

The analysis of refs. [4,5] was purely at the classical level. However, quantum fluctuations of fields which propagate in the bulk or on the TeV brane will also generate contributions to the effective radion potential[2]. In this paper we explore the possibility that it is these quantum corrections which stabilize the radion. We calculate the effective potential arising from bulk fields as well as fields confined to the TeV brane. For the confined fields we calculate using three different regulators, and show clearly that the effective cutoff on the brane is indeed of order TeV. After proper regularization, the sole effect of the brane field fluctuations is the renormalization of the brane tension. The physical contribution to the effective potential from integrating out bulk fields is suppressed by large powers of $\exp(-kr_c\pi)$ in the large r_c limit. As a consequence, the resulting vacuum energy cannot stabilize the brane separation naturally. Furthermore, the quantum effects do not spoil the classical mechanism of [4]. Our results resolve a discrepancy between two previous results in the literature [6,7].

I. QUANTUM CORRECTIONS TO THE RADION POTENTIAL

First, we consider the contribution to the radion potential coming from a field on the TeV brane. We will show that the fluctuations of fields on the brane serve only to renormalize the brane tension. Given the subtlety in the regularization procedure we will calculate using three different regulators.

---

potential flat and the resulting metric static. This fine tuning problem goes away in the presence of some additional radion stabilization dynamics. There is a second fine tuning, related to the cosmological constant problem, about which the RS solution has nothing to say.

[2] Fields on the Planck brane, at $\phi = 0$, do not couple directly to the radion. We expect that their contribution to the one-loop effective potential is suppressed relative to the sources mentioned above.

For concreteness, we will take a scalar field theory confined to the TeV brane. First we shall compute the effective potential using dimensional regularization. In $n = 4 - \epsilon$ dimensions, the action is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^n x a^n \left(\frac{1}{a^2} (\partial h)^2 - m_0^2 h^2 \right), \tag{4}$$

where m_0 is of order the Planck scale, and the powers of a multiplying the kinetic and mass terms come from the induced metric on the brane. To compute the radion potential, take a constant and rescale $h \to ah$. The rescaled field has a canonically normalized kinetic term and an effective mass $m = am_0$. The effective potential obtained from integrating out h can be trivially expressed as the zero point energy in the presence of a constant φ field configuration:

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{4-n} \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \sqrt{k^2 + a^2 m_0^2}, \tag{5}$$

with μ an arbitrary mass scale which has been introduced to keep V a four-dimensional energy density. The resulting expression,

$$V = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu^{4-n}}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) (m_0 a)^n, \tag{6}$$

contains a divergent piece that must be absorbed into a local counterterm. Such a counterterm is provided by the brane tension on the TeV brane

$$S_{ct} = -\int d^n x a^n \delta V \mu^{n-4}, \tag{7}$$

which is generally covariant in n dimensions. Comparing this with our result, we see that V is in fact pure counterterm: the effect of the scalar h is simply to renormalize the brane tension. Given a bare mass of order the Planck scale (this is the appropriate choice for our set of coordinates), there are no large logs for $\mu \simeq M_{pl}$.

An alternative way of understanding this result is to regulate the divergent integral using a physical (coordinate invariant) cutoff Λ. The vacuum energy for h is then, for $\Lambda \gg m_0$

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{1}{2} \int^{\Lambda a} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{k^2 + a^2 m_0^2} \\ &= \frac{a^4}{32\pi^2} \left[2\Lambda^4 + \Lambda^2 m_0^2 + m_0^4 \ln\left(\frac{m_0}{2\Lambda}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which simply induces a shift in the brane tension. Note that the coordinate cutoff on the momentum integral is rescaled by a factor of a with respect to the physical cutoff. Had we used an a-independent cutoff on the momentum integral, we would have generated terms in the effective action proportional to $(\Lambda m_0 a)^2$. On the other hand, the rescaled cutoff yields results that are consistent with four-dimensional general covariance on the brane, and which are in agreement with dimensional regularization.

The same conclusion can be reached by using a Pauli-Villars regulator. To get a consistent result, the regulator fields must couple to the induced metric on the TeV brane in the same way as our scalar field. Performing the calculations in two dimensions for simplicity, we make the subtraction

$$V \to V - \frac{1}{2(M_1^2 - M_2^2)} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(m^2 - M_2^2)\sqrt{k^2 + M_1^2} + (M_1^2 - m^2)\sqrt{k^2 + M_2}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Where *all* the masses, including the regulator masses get rescaled by the warp factor. Performing the momentum integral, it is easily seen that all logarithmic dependence on a cancels from the regulated expression. The remaining dependence on a is a pure counterterm.

The quantum fluctuations of bulk fields also contribute to the radion effective potential. Decomposing the bulk field into four-dimensional Kaluza-Klein modes, the potential can again be expressed as a sum over zero point energies

$$V = (-1)^F \frac{g}{2} \mu^\epsilon \sum_n \int \frac{d^{3-\epsilon}k}{(2\pi)^{3-\epsilon}} \sqrt{k^2 + m_n^2}, \tag{10}$$

where $F = 0, 1$ for bosons and fermions respectively, and g is the number of physical polarizations of the Kaluza-Klein modes. In this equation, the dependence on a enters through the Kaluza-Klein masses m_n. Defining $m_n = akx_n$, the above becomes

$$V = (-1)^{F+1} g \frac{k^4 a^4}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{k^2 a^2}{4\pi\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon/2} \Gamma(-2 + \epsilon/2) \sum_n x_n^{4-\epsilon}. \tag{11}$$

We now evaluate Eq. (11) for a bulk scalar field with action

$$S_b = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \sqrt{G} \left(G^{AB} \partial_A \Phi \partial_B \Phi - \left(m^2 + \alpha \frac{\sigma''}{r_c^2}\right) \Phi^2 \right), \tag{12}$$

where G_{AB} with $A, B = \mu, \phi$ is given by Eq. (1). Because $\sigma'' = 2kr_c \left[\delta(\phi) - \delta(\phi - \pi)\right]$, the parameter α controls a possible mass term on the boundaries of the space. Such mass terms arise if the field Φ is a component of a supermultiplet on AdS$_5$ with one dimension compactified on an S^1/Z_2 orbifold (see [8]). It is found in [8] that the roots x_n satisfy

$$j_\nu(x_n) y_\nu(a x_n) - j_\nu(a x_n) y_\nu(x_n) = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\nu = \sqrt{4+m^2/k^2}$, $j_\nu(z) = (2-\alpha)J_\nu(z) + zJ'_\nu(z)$, and y_ν is given by the same expression with Y_ν replacing J_ν. (See [8,9] for other work on the Kaluza-Klein reduction of bulk fields.) The a dependence from the sum over x_n in Eq. (11), can be calculated by zeta function regularization techniques [10], which we now review.

First, convert the sum into a contour integral

$$\sum_n x_n^{-s} = \frac{s}{2\pi i}\int_C dz z^{-s-1} \ln\left[j_\nu(z)y_\nu(az) - j_\nu(az)y_\nu(z)\right], \tag{14}$$

which is valid for Re$s > 1$. In this equation, C is a contour between arcs of radius δ (chosen to avoid a possible pole at $z=0$) and $R \to \infty$ which circles the roots x_n in a counterclockwise manner. Our goal is to perform the analytic continuation of the RHS of Eq. (14) to a neighborhood of $s=-4$. To do this, split the contour into C_+ and C_-, its portions above and below the real axis respectively. On each contour, the asymptotic expansion of the argument of the logarithm is

$$Z_\nu(z,a) \equiv j_\nu(z)y_\nu(az) - j_\nu(az)y_\nu(z) \sim \mp\frac{i}{\pi}z\sqrt{a}e^{\mp iz(1-a)}\left[1+\mathcal{O}\left(1/z\right)\right]. \tag{15}$$

We now add and subtract the logarithm of the RHS of this expression to the contour integral above, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n x_n^{-s} = &\frac{s}{2\pi i}\sum_{C_\pm}\int_{C_\pm} dz z^{-s-1}\ln\left[\pm\frac{i\pi}{z\sqrt{a}}e^{\pm iz(1-a)}Z_\nu(z,a)\right]\\ &-\frac{s}{2\pi i}\sum_{C_\pm}\int_{C_\pm} dz z^{-s-1}\ln\left[\pm\frac{i\pi}{z\sqrt{a}}e^{\pm iz(1-a)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The first line is now defined for Re$s > -1$, while the second is still only defined for Re$s > 1$. However, for the second term in Eq. (16), we are free to deform the contour C into a straight line running parallel to the imaginary axis from $z = i\infty + \delta$ to $z = -i\infty + \delta$. The result is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n x_n^{-s} = &\frac{s}{2\pi i}\sum_{C_\pm}\int_{C_\pm} dz z^{-s-1}\ln\left[\pm\frac{i\pi}{z\sqrt{a}}e^{\pm iz(1-a)}Z_\nu(z,a)\right]\\ &-\frac{s}{\pi}\left[\frac{2(1-a)}{(1-s)}\delta^{1-s} + \frac{\pi}{2s}\delta^{-s}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since the second line of this equation provides its own analytic continuation, we can now extend the definition of the sum on the LHS to $-1 < \text{Re}s < 0$. In this region, it is safe to take the limit $\delta \to 0$. Then second term above vanishes. To evaluate the piece left over, we can take the straight line contour along the imaginary axis. The result, valid for $-1 < \text{Re}s < 0$, is

$$\sum_n x_n^{-s} = \frac{s}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{-s-1} \ln\left[\frac{2}{t\sqrt{a}} e^{-t(1-a)} \left\{k_\nu(t) i_\nu(at) - k_\nu(at) i_\nu(t)\right\}\right], \tag{18}$$

where $i_\nu(t) = (2-\alpha) I_\nu(t) + t I_\nu'(t)$, and $k_\nu(t)$ is defined in the same way with $K_\nu(t)$ instead of $I_\nu(t)$.

Eq. (18) still needs to be extended to a neighborhood of $s = -4$. For $s = -4 + \epsilon$, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n x_n^{-s} = -2\epsilon \Bigg\{ & \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{3+\epsilon} \ln\left[1 - \frac{k_\nu(t) i_\nu(at)}{k_\nu(at) i_\nu(t)}\right] \\ & + \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{3+\epsilon} \ln\left[\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}} e^{-t} i_\nu(t)\right] + \frac{1}{a^{4-\epsilon}} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{3+\epsilon} \ln\left[-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} e^{t} k_\nu(t)\right] \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Because of the overall factor of $a^{4-\epsilon}$ in Eq. (11), the second two terms in this expression yield contributions that go as $a^{4-\epsilon}$ or independent of a respectively. The term that is independent of a can be absorbed into the renormalization of the Planck brane tension. As we discussed in the case of a TeV brane fields, $a^{4-\epsilon}$ can also be cancelled by a local counterterm. The first term in the brackets is well defined at $s = -4$. Taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, we end up with

$$V = V_h + V_v a^4 + \frac{k^4 a^4}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^3 \ln\left[1 - \frac{k_\nu(t) i_\nu(at)}{k_\nu(at) i_\nu(t)}\right], \tag{20}$$

where $V_{h,v}$ are shifts in the brane tensions. For $a \ll 1$, the a dependence in the above equation is

$$\int_0^\infty dt\, t^3 \ln\left[1 - \frac{k_\nu(t) i_\nu(at)}{k_\nu(at) i_\nu(t)}\right] = \frac{2}{\nu \Gamma(\nu)^2} \left(\frac{\nu - \alpha + 2}{\alpha + \nu - 2}\right) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2\nu} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{2\nu+3} \frac{k_\nu(t)}{i_\nu(t)} + \mathcal{O}(a^{2\nu+2}) \tag{21}$$

if $\alpha + \nu \neq 2$. For $\alpha + \nu = 2$

$$\int_0^\infty dt\, t^3 \ln\left[1 - \frac{k_\nu(t) i_\nu(at)}{k_\nu(at) i_\nu(t)}\right] = \frac{2(\nu - 1)}{\Gamma(\nu)^2} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2\nu-2} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{2\nu+1} \frac{k_\nu(t)}{i_\nu(t)} + \ldots, \tag{22}$$

with terms of order $a^{2\nu}$ for $\nu \neq 2$ and $a^4 \ln a$ for $\nu = 2$ not shown. Incidentally, the eigenvalues x_n for bulk fields of higher integer spin satisfy equations that are identical to that of the bulk scalar except for the values of ν and α [8]. It follows immediately that in those cases the a dependence is similar to that in Eq. (20). Furthermore, we can use the scalar result to calculate the effective potential in these cases as well. For instance, the contribution from a bulk $U(1)$ gauge field can be obtained by taking $\nu = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$. To calculate the effective potential due to metric fluctuations, decompose the metric into a sum of four-dimensional scalar, vector, and transverse traceless modes. The metric scalar and vector contributions are as described above, while the transverse traceless piece generates a term like Eq. (20) with $\nu = 2, \alpha = 0$.

In addition to contributions from fields in the bulk and on the TeV brane, the vacuum energy receives corrections from loops of the radion itself. These can be computed from the effective four-dimensional Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f^2}{2}(\partial a)^2 - \delta V_v a^4, \tag{23}$$

where δV_v is a small classical shift in the TeV brane tension relative to the value which generates the background metric. The one-loop effective potential generated by the radion is

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{1}{2}\int^{\Lambda a} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\sqrt{k^2 + \hat{m}^2 a^2} \\ &= \frac{a^4}{32\pi^2}\left[2\Lambda^4 + \Lambda^2\hat{m}^2 + \hat{m}^4 \ln\left(\frac{\hat{m}}{2\Lambda}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\hat{m}^2 = 12\delta V_v/f^2$. Note that as in the case of TeV brane fields, we have used an a-dependent cutoff on the momentum integral. It is not immediately clear that this is the correct cutoff to use in the dimensionally reduced theory, which provides an effective description of the physics at energy scales for which the fifth dimension cannot be resolved. However, had we not used the rescaled cutoff, we would have obtained cutoff dependent terms that are proportional to a^2 in the effective potential. No counterterm exists to absorb such terms. On the other hand, the rescaled cutoff yields $V \propto a^4$, which is a pure counterterm that can be absorbed into the TeV brane tension.

We can also see this result using dimensional regularization. The dimensionally reduced theory becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_n^{n-2}}{2}\left(\partial a^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)^2 - \delta V_v \mu^{n-4} a^n, \tag{25}$$

where f_n has dimensions of mass. Introducing a canonically normalized radion field $\varphi = (f_n a)^{(n-2)/2}$, the vacuum energy scales as

$$V \sim \left(\frac{\delta V_v \mu^{n-4}}{f_n^n}\right)^{n/2} \varphi^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = \left(\frac{\delta V_v \mu^{n-4}}{f_n^{n-2}}\right)^{n/2} a^n, \tag{26}$$

which simply renormalizes the TeV brane tension. Finally, one could also use a Pauli-Villars regulator. To avoid cutoff-dependent terms that cannot be absorbed into counterterms, the regulator masses should scale with a in the same way as masses on the TeV brane. In this case, the resulting effective potential is proportional to a^4 in agreement with the two other methods described here.

II. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have calculated the quantum effective potential for the radion in compactified AdS_5. By explicitly performing the computation using three different regulators, we have shown that fields confined to the TeV brane give no non-trivial contributions to the potential. In particular, we find that in dimensional regularization, the disappearance of any contribution that scales as $a^4 \ln a$ is not due to a rescaling of the regulator mass μ by a factor of a. Instead, it can be traced to the fact the proper generally covariant counterterm in $n = 4 - \epsilon$ dimensions includes this term. Likewise, general covariance requires that within a cutoff regularization procedure, one should use the rescaled cutoff Λa, leading to $V \propto a^4$.

The contribution due to bulk fields yields a non-trivial dependence on the warp factor a. However, as in the case of confined fields, no terms of the form $a^4 \ln a$ are generated. Beyond the pure counterterm a^4, bulk fields generate terms that are suppressed in the large r_c regime. For instance, a massless bulk field yields terms of the form a^6 as well as the finite log term $a^8 \ln a$. This a dependence is too weak to generate an exponentially small value of a without having to choose unnatural values of the brane tensions. Because of this, a classical stabilization mechanism is needed. Our results for the bulk fields disagree with the results of [7], who finds enhanced power dependence on a, but agree with those of [6]. However, our method and interpretations seem to differ from this reference. We have shown how to properly calculate the vacuum energy in the effective theory by first dimensionally reducing and then summing over modes.

Finally, we have also included the quantum effects of the radion field itself. We found that as in the case of TeV brane fields, the correct momentum space cutoff should be rescaled by a factor of a. While this is quite natural for brane fields it is not obvious that this had to be so for the radion field, since in the dimensionally reduced theory we have integrated over the fifth dimension, and there is no single preferred "scale" $\exp(-kr_c\phi)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors benefited from conversations P. Horava, K. Intrilligator and H. Ooguri. We thank M. Wise for helping us find an error on an earlier version of this paper. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under grant numbers DE-FG03-92-ER 40701 and DOE-ER-40682-143.

APPENDIX A: ERRATUM

The expansion of the expression Eq. (20) in the small a limit is not valid for the special case in which the formula Eq. (13) for the Kaluza-Klein masses involves Bessel functions of order zero. This case corresponds to bulk gauge fields or to scalars and fermions with bulk masses $m^2 = -4k^2$ and $m = k/2$, respectively. In these cases the radion effective potential for the contains terms of the form $a^4/\ln a$. For instance, for a bulk gauge field satisfying Neumann boundary conditions on the two branes Eq. (20) gives

$$V = V_h + V_v a^4 + \frac{k^4 a^4}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^3 \ln \left[1 - \frac{K_0(t) I_0(at)}{I_0(t) K_0(at)} \right] , \tag{A1}$$

which for small a can be approximated by

$$V \simeq V_h + V_v a^4 + \frac{k^4}{16\pi^2} \frac{a^4}{\ln a} \int_0^\infty dt\, t^3 \frac{K_0(t)}{I_0(t)} \tag{A2}$$

which has a maximum at $a \simeq \exp\left(-k^4 C_0/16\pi^2 V_v\right)$, where V_v is the deviation of the TeV brane tension from the background value $-24M^3k$, and $C_0 \simeq 1.00453$. It has been pointed out in [11] that changing the boundary conditions can change the sign of the logarithmic term, yielding a minimum for the radion. However, even if one could reverse the sign of this calculable quantum correction to the radion potential, one would need to have $V_v \sim k^4/(192\pi^3)$ in order to obtain a radion VEV with the correct magnitude to yield the appropriate hierachy. Given that $k/M < 1$ in order to preserve calculability, a mechanism for radion stabilization that requires such values of V_v should be regarded as fine tuned (for instance using a typical value $M/k \sim 10$, we find that a fine tuning to one part in 10^7 is necessary).

REFERENCES

[1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370.

[2] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B475 (2000) 275.

[3] C. Csaki, M. Graesser, L. Randall and J. Terning, hep-ph/9911406.

[4] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4922.

[5] O. De Wolfe, D.Z. Freedman, S.S. Gubser and A. Karch, hep-th/9909134.

[6] J. Garriga, O. Pujolas and T. Tanaka, hep-th/0004109.

[7] D.J. Toms, hep-th/0005189.

[8] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, hep-ph/0003129.

[9] W.D. Goldberger and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 107505; H Davoudiasl, J.L. Hewett, and T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 2080; H Davoudiasl, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B473 (2000) 43; A. Pomarol, hep-ph/9911294; Y. Grossman and M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. B474 (2000) 361.

[10] S. Leseduarte and A. Romeo, J. Phys. A 27 (1994) 2483; A. Romeo, Phys. Rev. D52 (1995) 7308; S. Leseduarte and A. Romeo, Ann. Phys. 250 (1996) 448; S. Leseduarte and A. Romeo, Commun. Math. Phys. 193 (1998) 317.

[11] J. Garriga and A. Pomarol, arXiv:hep-th/0212227.